

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Lower Thames Crossing](#)
Subject: Interested Party Reference number : LTC s58564
Date: 10 March 2025 14:29:11

[REDACTED]

Dear Sir/Madam,

My first point is that given the theme park at Swanscombe is no longer going ahead and the Thames is half as wide at that point, why has this not been reconsidered as the primary site for the new crossing as it will create far less disruption and will require substantially less drilling for the crossing ?

Second point is the document refers mainly to the areas effected as drainage areas for the current motorway, this is not true as the majority of the area is above the motorway elevation and so can't be used for drainage so is a misleading assertion in the report.

Kind regards,

Jonathan Adcock